

Public Document Pack

MEETING:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee
DATE:	Tuesday, 6 October 2015
TIME:	2.00 pm,
VENUE:	Council Chamber, Barnsley Town Hall

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA DOCUMENTS

6 Councillor Call for Action (CCfA) - District Heating (Pages 3 - 42)

Diara Jerris

Diana Terris Chief Executive

Monday, 28 September 2015

This page is intentionally left blank

Additional Agenda Item 6a

Report of the Director of Human Resources, Performance & Communications, to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 6th October 2015

Councillor Call for Action (CCfA)- District Heating

1.0 Introduction and Summary

- 1.1 On Wednesday 30th September 2015, the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee received a Councillor Call for Action (CCfA) request from Councillor Paul Hand-Davis with regards to District Heating (Item 6b attached).
- 1.2 The CCfA was accepted by the Chairman as an urgent item in view of the need to ensure that the District Heating system in Council properties was considered as soon as possible, before the onset of the winter.
- 1.3 The points raised by Councillor Hand-Davis were shared with Berneslai Homes and they have provided the attached documents which include:
 - a cover sheet which outlines some key facts in relation to District Heating and covering information in relation to the District Heating Review (Item 6c)
 - a copy of the Consultant's Review of Community Heating Schemes Report for Berneslai Homes (Item 6d)

2.0 Invited Witnesses

- 2.1 The following witnesses have been invited to today's meeting to provide information and answer questions with regards to this area of work:
 - Stephen Davis, Director of Assets, Regeneration and Construction, Berneslai Homes
 - Councillor Roy Miller, Cabinet Spokesperson for Place
 - Phillip Spurr, Service Director, Culture, Housing & Regulation, Barnsley Council

3.0 Officer Contact

Anna Morley, Scrutiny Officer (01226 775794) 5th October 2015 This page is intentionally left blank

Additional Agenda Item 6b

SCRUTINY CO	SCRUTINY COMMISSION – CALL FOR ACTION										
SC rutiny Commissions	;	Date: Name:	30 th September 2015 Councillor Paul Hand-Davis Penistone East								
What issue would you like to be scrutinised?	at issue would you likei)District Heating Chargesbe scrutinised?ii)District Heating Efficiency										
Why do you think this issue ought to be scrutinised? (e.g. is it important to the Council, Barnsley's Communities, etc?) Urgent and vital need to help Berneslai Homes Tenants who are living in cold, damp houses - unable to afford heating costs											
What are your main concerns about the issue? (eg financial, service delivery, impact on local people, etc?) Health impact on our own tenants Cost impact on our own tenants Winter is fast approaching											
 What are the key questions all 1. Can Councillors have sig commissioned by Bernes 2. Is our green energy part towards our residents? 3. The proposed reduction of inadequate. 4. Average cost of £1,000.0 5. Can we reverse the gree 6. Can we subsidise the killo 7. Are our tenants' homes as output of green schemes 8. The scheme I know most thirds as efficient and thr 9. Are we prepared, as a car frightened to turn the heat 	bout this is ht of / or de slai Homes? ner of the rig of £0.01off t 0 per year i n energy sc pwatt hour p sufficiently w ? t about (Gro ee times the aring Counci- ating on bec	sue that tails of th ght qualit the kilowa s too exp heme an orice? vell insula ound Sour e cost of a il to have ause of th	you would like answers to? e District Heating Review y and sufficiently sympathetic att hour charge is totally bensive for those on benefits. d replace with Gas Combi Boilers? ted to compensate for the low heat rce Heat Pumps) is arguably two a Gas Combi boiler. people wrapped in blankets, he cost?								

This page is intentionally left blank

Additional Agenda Item 6c

Information from Berneslai Homes to Barnsley Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee for the meeting on 6th October 2015 with regards to District Heating in response to the Councillor Call for Action

District Heating in Barnsley – Key Facts

- There are 24 District Heating Scheme where 1,213 tenants pay for heat. They all pay through a heat meter. They pay for what they consume.
- In the 14/15 financial year the average paid for heat was £458.
- The Unit charge was 11p this charge had been in place since April 2014. From the 1st October this has been reduced by 1p to 10p. A further 0.5p reduction will be implemented on the 1st of November. Taking the charge down to 9.5p. This will mean that on average each customer will see their bill reduce by £62.51p. The reason we are doing this is because gas and electric fuel costs have reduced. We have also offset some of the higher cost of biomass fuel from Renewable Heat Incentive payments from government. We wanted to pass these savings on to customers as soon as possible.
- The district heating charge is calculated by taking all the running costs from the 24 schemes and recovering the full cost through the heat meter charge. It is a pooled charge.
- A considerable amount of investment has gone into the heating plan over the last few years with old coal or gas plant being converted to biomass for the larger installations and Ground Source Heat Pumps for the smaller schemes.
- Eight schemes run on Biomass (with gas back up)
- Six Schemes Run on Ground Source Heat Pumps
- Ten Schemes run on gas.
- 6 of the biomass schemes and all of the ground source heat pump schemes receive Renewable Heat Incentive Payments from Government for the heat they produce. This is for 20 years.

Consultants Review of Community Heating Schemes for Berneslai Homes (July 2015) – Covering Information

The district heating charge is calculated against the cost to run the schemes based on the previous year's total running costs and with the aim of the district heating budget breaking even each year with no cross subsidy from the Housing Revenue Account (HRA). This is reviewed on an annual basis. The review of the tariff for 2015/16 (carried out in October 2014) initially identified a penny increase from 11 to 12 pence. In early March 2015 we had notification of significant price decreases on gas of 8% and electricity 1.9%. At the same time concerns were being raised by tenants and some Council members about the heating charge. Rather than implement an increase in April which might not be required, it was agreed with the Council that we would carry out a fuller review of the charge over the summer months (when usage is very low) with the outcome being implemented from the 1st of October 2015, the start of the main heating period.

As part of the review independent consultants were engaged to look at the following aspects:

- 1. Survey and re-measure the communal area percentages, to ensure accuracy of the historic figures feeding into the heating charge financial model.
- 2. Look at the schemes where costs are highest and make recommendation on measures that might reduce that cost.
- 3. Look at the likely income from Biomass Renewable Heat Inventive (RHI) over the 20 year period and suggest the proportion of that income that is attributable to the higher cost of operating biomass i.e. fuel cost, daily maintenance etc.
- 4. At three schemes where unmetered hot water is supplied to dwellings and communal areas by a centralised system, calculate separately the hot water energy consumption/cost through the plant.
- 5. Take District Heating water samples at each site and send for analysis. From the results make recommendation on water treatment and or flushing.
- 6. Carry out research by looking at similar housing organisations across the country and compare the charge in Barnsley with those organisations. A similar housing organisation is one that has a similar number of district heating schemes.

The resulting consultant's report is attached at Item 6d.

The technical improvement measures outlined above at 2 and 5 have formed into a works programme for implementation. They are low cost measures.

The research at point 6 showed that our charge at 11p was towards the top end of unit charges (range 2.5p to 16p with an average of 7.1p). Unit charges are calculated by organisations on different assumptions some for example, Leeds, have a lower unit cost but also make a daily standing charge, others do not know accurately if they recover all costs. Other organisations subsidise heating charges.

The research at point 4 is at three sites, which have unmetered hot water supplies and where the residents pay a flat rate charge of £2.50 per week over a 48 week period. It was identified following a series of tests, the estimated gas consumption costs would be significantly higher than the income received over a twelve month period at these sites.

The remaining calculations and recommendations from points 1 and 3 feed alongside the reduced gas and electricity costs into the financial model used to calculate the breakeven charge. This page is intentionally left blank

Additional Agenda Item 6d

Review of Community Heating Schemes

For Bernselai Homes

July 2015



Leeds Environmental Design Associates Ltd Micklethwaite House 70 Cross Green Lane Leeds, LS9 0DG T: 0113 200 9380 F: 0113 200 9381

1	Intr	oduc	tion	4
2	Ass	essn	nent of Communal/non dwelling Areas	5
	2.1	Met	hodology	5
	2.2	Tab	le of results	5
3	Sch	neme	s Where Income is Significantly less than Costs	7
	3.1	Ger	neral Considerations	7
	3.2	Sch	eme by Scheme Review	7
	3.2	.1	Glebe Court	7
	3.2	2	Gray Street	8
	3.2.	.3	Kirk View	9
	3.2	.4	Hudsons Haven / Aldham Farm	. 10
	3.2	5	Marston Crescent	. 11
	3.2	.6	Heather Court	. 12
	3.2	7	King Street	. 13
	3.2	.8	Sunrise Manor	. 14
	3.3	Ger	neral Conclusions	. 15
4	Bio	mass	Schemes and RHI Income	. 17
	4.1	The	Avenues	. 18
	4.2	Mar	ston Crescent	. 19
	4.3	Mile	field Court	. 20
	4.4	Ros	e Tree Avenue	. 21
	4.5 Ki	ng S	treet	. 22
	4.6	Hea	ather Court	. 23
	4.7	Huc	Isons Haven/ Aldham Farm	. 24
	4.8	Uni	on St/ Sheffield Road Flats	. 25
5	Hot	Wat	er Consumption on Unmetered Centralised Schemes	. 26
6	Wa	ter Q	uality Testing	. 27
7	Sur	vey o	of Comparative Charges for Metered Heat	. 28
8	Ger	neral	Conclusions	. 30
9	Арр	pendi	x: supplementary information	. 31
	9.1. A	nony	mised Unit Cost Data	. 31
	9.2.	Sta	tistics on Fuel Poor	. 32

1 Introduction

This report has been prepared by the engineering and architectural team at Leeds Environmental Design Associates for Bernselai Homes and covers 24 housing schemes in the Barnsley area which have communal or small scale district heating systems.

It looks at specific issues in relation to the schemes and these are dealt with in sequence in the body of the report. Data used in this report has been compiled from various figures and spreadsheets provided by Berneslai Homes along with information gathered from the sites. We have also used anonymised data provided to us by the heat metering company EnerG on heat used in properties.

2 Assessment of Communal/non dwelling Areas

2.1 Methodology.

A variety of methods ware used to determine the volumes of heated communal spaces and the total dwelling volumes on each scheme. For schemes with terraces of bungalows, houses and/or 2 storey flats, a combination of on-site measurements and use of Ordinance Survey maps were used. Where fire plans were available for the blocks of flats these were imported into CAD software and on-site check measurements used to ensure the correct scale. For the five recent Ground Source Heat Pump schemes we were able to make use of the CAD design drawings which we previously produced.

Inevitably there will be minor inaccuracies in the calculations but we expect our results to have a tolerance of less than +/- 5%, and this would have minimal impact on the final percentage figure (+/- 1%)

The relative heat-losses from communal areas will differ from scheme to scheme. For example a scheme which mainly has internal corridors for communal circulation will have less communal heat loss than one with glazed corridors on the external perimeter. Where thought relevant we have added notes to the table below to highlight this.

SCHEME	TENANT	COMMUNAL	COMMUNAL	COMMENTS
	AREA (m2)	AREA (m2)	AREA % OF	
			TOTAL	
Gray Street	2,252	377	14%	Offices over community
				centre
Kirk View	2,241	377	14%	Offices over community
				centre
Glebe Court	4,040	1835	31%	Corridors mostly internal (low
				heat losses)
Heather Court	11,755	1802	13%	School linked to system (on
				own heat-meter)
Marston Crescent		No communal		
		areas		
Sunrise Manor	2,142	391	15%	Community centre & flat
				above
King Street	4,543	1568	26%	
Aldam	5,590	2870	34%	Corridors have large area of
Farm/Hudsons				external walls & windows
Haven				
Hawthorne	4172	250	6%	
House				
The Avenues		No communal		Tenants Assoc. room has
		areas		own gas boiler
Honeywell Close	5,985	566	12%	
-				
Rose Tree Ave	336	7,905	4%	Community centre
				-

2.2 Table of results

Milefield Court		No communal areas		
Parkside	2,499	409	14%	Community centre & flat above
Pollyfox	7,650	441	5%	
Elm Court	3,993	336	8%	Flat above community rooms (metered?)
Maltas Court	4,642	558	11%	Community room & offices
Union Street Flats		0		Unheated corridors and stairwells
Saville Court	1,460	1,283	47%	
Churchfield Close	2,145	1,236	37%	
Church St Thurnscoe	3,269	582	15%	Mainly internal corridors
Woodhall Flats	2,166	1,454	40%	Internal corridors & large communal lounge
Willowcroft	3,499	1,544	31%	Mainly internal corridors
Pendon House	4,110	2267	36%	

3 Schemes Where Income is Significantly less than Costs

Scheme	Boiler Type	Scheme Type
Glebe Court	Gas	Centralised hot-water provision
Gray Street	Gas	Centralised hot-water provision
Kirk View	Gas	Older system to terraces of 2 story flats
Hudsons Haven/	Biomass	Central block of flats and terraces of bungalows
Aldham Farm		
Marston Crescent	Biomass	Larger scheme of flats and houses
Heather Court	Biomass	2 storey block of flats & terraced houses
King Street	Biomass	Central block of flats with two smaller blocks
Sunrise Manor	GSHP	Terraces of bungalows with central community centre.
		Centralised hot-water provision

The following schemes were examined for this section of the review:

3.1 General Considerations

Whilst three of these schemes are biomass, the efficiency of the biomass boiler plant should not be a factor as far as costs are concerned as payments to the biomass supplier are for heat delivered rather than wood-chip used. The discrepancy between heat produced and heat paid for on these schemes is thus between the heat meter(s) in the boiler room and the heat meters in the dwellings. Assuming meters are accurate (and not tampered with) this means that the measured difference between heat produced and heat paid-for is entirely due to distribution losses.

For the non-biomass schemes where gas is metered in the boiler room, boiler plant efficiency is an issue and this is looked at in the analysis below.

When looking at the percentage of heat lost annually through pipework distribution losses, one consideration is whether tenants use as much heat as would be expected from typical use. If tenants are reluctant to use their heating because they find the costs too high, the percentage of total heat produced that gets lost in the distribution pipes increases. One way of examining this is to look at expected use for heating on the Energy Performance Certificates for sample properties and comparing this with metered usage. Taking Marston Crescent as an example we see that heat use is only 62% of that expected on the EPC's. The records of heat consumption show that this has been reducing across most of the sites over the last three years as the unit cost of heat has risen.

3.2 Scheme by Scheme Review

3.2.1 Glebe Court

This is a gas fired installation with centralised hot-water provided by direct fired water heaters. The heating boilers have a condensing lead boiler and non-condensing second boiler, and the pumps are inverter driven. Pipework in the boiler house and in a sample roof void was checked and found to be well insulated. In addition the communal corridors are mainly internal so even though the percentage of communal areas is high this will not be the primary reason for poor financial performance.

One suspected reason for the high unit recovery cost on this scheme is the unusually low metered kWh recorded by Switch 2 for tenant usage. A sample EPC for Glebe Court indicates an expected annual consumption of 1931kWh for heating, so expected use for all 35 properties is around 67,585 kWh. This compared with recorded metered consumption of 25,373 kWh. The total metered heat consumption has also dropped by 36% over the last three years.

Hot-water consumption is not metered but the analysis carried for section 5 of this report indicates that the income from the fixed charge to tenants does not cover the fuel costs for delivering hot-water..

Key Issues

- Hot water not metered & fixed charge does not cover costs
- Low demand for heat

3.2.2 Gray Street

Gray Street consists of a common building with flat above and basement plant room, with small terraces of bungalows on three sides.

The common room was also warm in spite of the radiator valves all being set to 0 or * setting. The heat must be rising up through the floor.

The boiler house installation is relatively old, with two Ideal Concord conventional open flue gas boilers supplying the heating and feeding a horizontal calorifier. One of the heating circulating pumps is a new inverter variable speed type, the other three are standard fixed speed. The plant room was very warm at time of visit. The plant is set up in a traditional way with plant constantly on and with constant flows, and all the equipment and pipework is kept hot or warm at all times. There are quite a number of un-insulated sections of pipework, flanges and valves.

The horizontal hot water calorifier is approximately 2000-3000 litres with an alu-clad insulation. This feeds out to circa. 40yrs old (copper or galvanised steel)underground to the bungalows. The routes of this pipework were not discernible, however pipework of this age will be poorly insulated by modern standards. A reason this pipework will be surviving and has not been replaced is because it has not corroded like the heating pipework will have done.

Pipework to the bungalows is a modern "Duo" system where two carrier pipes are contained in one pre-insulated outer sheaf, these types of pipe have overall less heatloss than single carrier pipe systems, but the flow does tend to warm the return if there is a large difference. These duo pipes distribute underground to the ends of each terrace and rise up in external brick risers and then feed each bungalow internally. It was not possible to inspect the pipework in the bungalows. The pipework is thought to be Uponor and has the least effective insulation type for underground pipework.

Key Issues

- Inefficient boiler plant
- High heat losses from plant room.
- Low demand for heat
- Hot water not metered

3.2.3 Kirk View

Kirk View is comprised of a central detached common room with flat above and boiler room basement. Two terraces of flats flank the common room building on either side and these are made up of 10 flats each on two levels with a common ramp and stairs to the upper levels and external walk ways.

The common room was also warm in spite of the radiator valves all being set to 0 or * setting. The heat must be rising up through the floor.

The boiler house installation is relatively old, with two Dietrich conventional open flue gas boilers supplying the heating and feeding a large poorly insulated horizontal calorifier. Circulating pumps are fixed speed (not inverter controlled). The plant is set up in a traditional way with plant constantly on and with constant flows, and all the equipment and pipework is kept hot or warm at all times. The valves and flanges are lagged with insulated jackets that are mostly in place.

The horizontal hot water calorifier is approximately 2000-3000 litres with a soft depressed insulation jacket. This feeds out to circa. 40yrs old (copper or galvanised steel) underground to the terraces. The routes of this pipework were not discernible, however pipework of this age will be poorly insulated by modern standards. A possible reason this water pipework will be surviving and has not been replaced is because it has not corroded as quickly as the heating pipework will have done.

Pipework to the bungalows uses pre-insulated pipework that runs underground to the ends of each terrace and rises up in external brick risers and then run along exposed under the walkway with branches to each pair of flats. The underground pipework is thought to be Uponor and has the least effective insulation type for underground pipework. The exposed pipework to the blocks of flats, where visible has only 20mm mineral fibre insulation, and there is a considerable amount of external above-ground pipework.



The metered heat consumption by tenants at Kirk View has dropped by 44% between 2012/13 and 2014/15.

Key Issues

- Poorly insulated external pipework
- Inefficient boiler plant
- Low demand for heat

3.2.4 Hudsons Haven / Aldham Farm

Hudsons Haven and Aldham Farm consists of a 2 story sheltered accommodation building (Hudson's Haven) with a number of flats off common internal corridors. Surrounding the main building are a number of short terraces of bungalows. All of these are served from the plant room attached to Hudson's Haven.

In the plant room are gas and biomass boilers. The two 150kW KWB biomass boilers are older than the other installations surveyed and we understand these boilers have been out of action for a considerable time over the last two years. There is no buffer vessel on the biomass installation and the heat-meters are connected to the boiler flow and return. At the time of our visit one biomass boiler was not operational, although hot water was circulating through it resulting in unnecessary heat losses. The two gas back-up boilers are also fairly old conventional gas-fired boilers (Hartley & Sugden 2 x 200kW). Heating circulating pumps are fixed speed (not inverter controlled). Recorded gas consumption for 2013/14 & 2014/15 is high for a biomass boilers. (The total floor area of 8,460 is likely to have a design heat-loss of over 500kW.)

The estate of bungalows fed by the heating system covers a wide area and although the distribution pipework is pre-insulated, the tee connections in inspection chambers are not insulated and the pipework in these manholes was not buried. The un-insulated pipework and valves will be losing unnecessary heat to the surrounding damp soil and air that also results in condensation on the cooler manhole lids. Within the main building the communal areas have a high percentage of external exposure and we noted that the upper corridor ceiling void was not insulated.

Key Issues

- Long runs of external pipework
- Faulty boiler plant high gas use
- High percentage of communal area
- Low demand for heat

3.2.5 Marston Crescent



64 flats and 12 houses and 16 unmetered properties

Marston Crescent is an interesting scheme to analyse in detail as it has measured heatoutput from the boiler house and no communal areas, so the distribution heat-losses can be accurately measured.

The single 840kW Binder biomass boiler is presumably sized to meet a theoretical peak demand and will tend to operate inefficiently as part load. However the issue being examined is the discrepancy between the metered heat from the boiler house and the heat purchased by tenants, so boiler plant efficiency is not a key issue.

Estimated heat delivered from boiler house 2014/15:	947000 kWh
Metered heat consumption from dwellings	270454
Estimated heat used by unmetered properties:	71172
Heat lost through distribution losses	605374

Even though the properties are widely distributed across the scheme, this figure is considerably greater than expected. We were not able to ascertain the quality of insulation on underground pipework but pipes running up the gable walls and within roof voids are insulated with 25mm wall thickness mineral wool and we understand underground pipes are plastic pre-insulated type.

Sample EPC's for a typical house and flat at Marston Crescent were used to see whether tenants are using as much fuel as is expected from the certificate calculations and the results are shown below:

	Flat	House	Total for 12 houses and 64 flats
EPC predicted heating use	2822	5297	
EPC predicted hot-water use	2430	2800	
EPC total predicted heat use	5252	8097	433292
EnerG metered total			270454

This implies that tenants are using considerably less heat than the EPC's predict and one reason for this might be the current cost of heat. It is worth noting that metered energy use for this site has decreased by over 30% between 2012/13 and 2014/15 and increases in the

unit cost for heat are likely to be a contributing factor. A graph of typical use (kWh/month for a winter month) shows a cluster of low use tenants.



Key Issues

- Long runs of external pipework
- Low demand for heat
- Unmetered properties

3.2.6 Heather Court

Heather Court consists of a 2 story sheltered accommodation building with a number of flats off common internal corridors. Surrounding the main building is a small estate of short terraces of 2 story houses. The housing and flats are served from a nearby energy centre that is within the neighbouring primary school grounds.

In the plant room is a biomass boiler installation with a pair of gas backup boilers and a single 840kW biomass boiler connected to a pair of buffer tanks that are connected in series. The system has 4 heat meters displaying the heat provided from gas boilers, from the biomass boiler via buffers, to the primary school and to the housing estate. The two gas back-up boilers were off at the time of visit and the shunt pumps were off meaning the gas boilers were cold and not losing heat. The buffers were reasonably well insulated, though the lagging had fallen off the bottom of one of the buffers. The pipework valves and flanges are generally insulated though some sections of insulation are damaged or missing.

The housing estate and sheltered accommodation fed by the heating system covers a wide area and it was not possible to determine the type of distribution pipework, although it is assumed that the distribution pipework is pre-insulated.

As the heat distributed from the main plant room via metered circuits it is possible to see what heat is used and what heat is lost.

For the heating to the housing estate it shows that in one year 1,014,700 kWh were delivered from the plant room, and only 256,278 kWh were billed for. Somewhere there is

either a very large loss of heat or heat being used that is not billed for or metered. Records also show that heat consumption dropped by 18% between 2013/14 and 2014/15.

As we don't have the pipework route for the site we can only make assumptions of the pipe runs. If we assume there is 500m of 80mm flow and return pipe and 500m of 40mm flow and return pipe then this could potentially account for 375,000 kWh of the losses, however the actual figures are very dependent on pipe specification, sizes and lengths and could easily be twice this.

Key Issues

- Long runs of external pipework of unknown specification
- Potentially un metered use
- High proportion of gas use over biomass that would reduce potential income
- Reduced demand for heat

3.2.7 King Street

The boiler plant installation at King Street is similar to that at Marston Crescent, although a smaller biomass boiler, rated at 500kW is being operated. The main building at King Street has a large volume of communal areas including glazed corridors with significant heat-loss. However radiators in communal areas have tamper-proof covers over the thermostatic valves and are generally set correctly. The heating system also feeds two smaller remote blocks of flats via below ground pipework and although this pipework was not examined the feeds into the ground in the boiler room are clearly old and likely to be poorly insulated.



Interestingly, the recorded metered consumption of 193,339 kWh for tenants in 2014/15 is higher than the figure of 125,000 expected from viewing sample EPC's.

Key Issues

- Poorly insulated external pipework
- Large communal areas

3.2.8 Sunrise Manor

Sunrise Manor is a heat pump installation feeding 25 bungalows and Community Centre building with a flat above. The system has centralised hot-water and distributes heat at low temperatures (ranging from 27deg to 48deg) and hot-water at 48degrees. It is very similar to Parkside with one difference being that the distribution pipework was replaced at Parkside when the heat-pumps were installed whereas the existing pipes were retained at Sunrise Manor. Although we understand the main distribution pipework at Sunrise is pre-insulated plastic, there does appear to be some older, poorly insulated pipework remaining (see photo below).



Example of connection to existing pipework from boiler house

A review of the EnerG metering records over the winter of 2014/15 shows relatively low hotwater consumption by tenants (typically 25 litres a day). Tenants may perceive the cost to be high and make use of their electric showers (where fitted) as their main washing facility. With respect to metered heating the typical use (in 2014/15) is 23% less than predicted by EPC data.

Energy usage for Sunrise is also much less than its sister estate at Parkside, using less than 70% of that recorded at Parkside and this will contribute to its lower overall efficiency. (Heat meters were only recently introduced at Parkside and Sunrise but records show that these schemes previously used a similar amount of coal a year.)

Key Issues

- Some poorly insulated external pipework
- Low demand for heat & hot-water

3.3 General Conclusions

Whilst there are specific issues on some sites which are mentioned above, low metered heat consumption is common to most of the sites reviewed and as distribution losses remain constant this clearly contributes to the higher cost to income ratio. The fact that metered heat consumption has generally reduced over the last three years as cost has increased does indicate an issue over affordability. Consideration should be given to carrying out a tenant survey to establish how significant this is. We also recommend that metering should be introduced to any unmetered dwellings on schemes.

In relation to overall system efficiency, there are some items across many of the sites that could be addressed:

- Heat loss from inspection chambers. Pipework and joints should be insulated at these points.
- Heat-loss from building entry points. These should be checked and bare pipework and valves all insulated.
- Installation of time controls to communal areas. The practicalities of this will vary from scheme to scheme but there are a number of community centres and communal spaces that are only used intermittently and could use programmable thermostats for local control.
- Review BMS control settings, inverter pump settings and flow rates on schemes. There is the potential to increase the temperature differential between flow and return to 20degrees, reducing distribution losses and pumping costs. Flow temperatures could also be reduced in summer, further reducing distribution heat-loss. Such alterations should be carried out on an incremental basis as ideal settings can only be found by trial and error. On many schemes it should be possible to reduce flow/return temperatures to 60/40degrees in summer with a weekly pasteurisation cycle for Legionella protection.



Example of building entry with uninsulated pipes at The Avenues

The following chart shows the calculated energy losses and uses at Kirk View. This is present as an example of a central gas site with metered heating and un-metered hot water. It shows the significant losses in proportion to the actual useful heat delivered. Overall 85% of the gas used at the meter is lost.



The chart below shows the same data from Kirk View with simulated improvements and every one of the losses reduced, together with increased usage. Here only 43% of the gas used at the meter is lost.



4 Biomass Schemes and RHI Income

A 20 year calculation based on the lifetime of the Renewable Heat Incentive has been carried out for all the biomass schemes and these are shown on the following pages.

The consumption of wood chip on the schemes can be erratic due to boiler breakdowns and in some cases we have had to estimate consumption based on cost figures for gas and biomass along with heat-meter readings and records of fuel deliveries. For the purposes of the following spreadsheets we have assumed the biomass boilers will be working through the year (apart from an annual service) and gas consumption will be around 10% of total fuel use. The only exception to this is on the sites where the gas boilers are designed to provide a significant amount of heat during winter months.

The following notes apply to all the following spreadsheets:

- RHI income based on rates set for schemes registered in the first half of 2015.
- Gas cost is for gas used by back-up gas boilers (assumed as 10% of total in a normal year)
- Electricity costs are for boiler room consumption (pumps, fuel feed motors, etc)
- Heat sales based on EnerG figure for total customer metered consumption in 2014/15
- An allowance of £2,500 p.a. for boiler room repairs on biomass and £1,000 p.a for gas included in maintenance costs
- The net saving is the income from the RHI and tenants payments less the cost of fuel, electricity and maintenance
- Assumed that gas equivalent installation would have half the electricity consumption of biomass system
- The additional costs for biomass are the extra costs of fuel, electricity and maintenance compared to an equivalent gas boiler system and this is the amount of the RHI income that can be attributed to the higher cost of operating the biomass systems.

4.1 The Avenues

biomass boil	er si	ze	600	kw							Charge out rate	0.11
biomass boil	er ef	ficiency	85	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers e	fficie	ncy	90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equivalent hours		970				RHI rate	5.18	p/kwh				
Annual chip	cons	umption	684706	kwh			reduced RHI	2.24	p/kwh			
Annual gas c	onsi	umption	68471	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
							Discount Rate	3	%			
										Fuel &		
										maintenance	Additional	
					Electricity	Heat sales			accumulated	cost for gas	costs for	
Year		RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	net saving	saving	equivalent	biomass	
	1	£30,148	£2,029	£29,203	£3,273	£29,750	£14,711	£10,681		£25,148	£24,069	
	2	£30,751	£2,070	£29,787	£3,338	£30,345	£15,005	£10,895	£21,576	£25,651	£24,550	
	3	£31,366	£2,111	£30,382	£3,405	£30,952	£15,305	£11,113	£32,689	£26,164	£25,041	
	4	£31,993	£2,154	£30,990	£3,473	£31,571	£15,611	£11,335	£44,024	£26,687	£25,542	
	5	£32,633	£2,197	£31,610	£3,543	£32,202	£15,924	£11,562	£55,586	£27,221	£26,053	
	6	£33,285	£2,241	£32,242	£3,614	£32,846	£16,242	£11,793	£67,379	£27,765	£26,574	
	7	£33,951	£2,286	£32,887	£3,686	£33,503	£16,567	£12,029	£79,408	£28,320	£27,105	
	8	£34,630	£2,331	£33,545	£3,760	£34,173	£16,898	£12,270	£91,678	£28,887	£27,647	
	9	£35,323	£2,378	£34,216	£3,835	£34,857	£17,236	£12,515	£104,193	£29,464	£28,200	
	10	£36,029	£2,425	£34,900	£3,912	£35,554	£17,581	£12,765	£116,958	£30,054	£28,764	
	11	£36,750	£2,474	£35,598	£3,990	£36,265	£17,933	£13,021	£129,979	£30,655	£29,339	
	12	£37,485	£2,523	£36,310	£4,070	£36,990	£18,291	£13,281	£143,259	£31,268	£29,926	
	13	£38,234	£2,574	£37,036	£4,151	£37,730	£18,657	£13,547	£156,806	£31,893	£30,525	
	14	£38,999	£2,625	£37,777	£4,234	£38,485	£19,030	£13,817	£170,623	£32,531	£31,135	
	15	£39,779	£2,678	£38,532	£4,319	£39,254	£19,411	£14,094	£184,717	£33,182	£31,758	
	16	£40,575	£2,731	£39,303	£4,405	£40,040	£19,799	£14,376	£199,093	£33,845	£32,393	
	17	£41,386	£2,786	£40,089	£4,493	£40,840	£20,195	£14,663	£213,756	£34,522	£33,041	
	18	£42,214	£2,842	£40,891	£4,583	£41,657	£20,599	£14,956	£228,713	£35,213	£33,702	
	19	£43,058	£2,899	£41,709	£4,675	£42,490	£21,011	£15,256	£243,968	£35,917	£34,376	
	20	£43,919	£2,957	£42,543	£4,768	£43,340	£21,431	£15,561	£259,529	£36,635	£35,063	

Biomass heat meter readings: 336mWh used between 16/11/14&29/3/15

4.2 Marston Crescent

biomass boiler	siz	e	840	kw							Charge out rate	0.11
biomass boiler	effi	ciency	90	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers effi	cier	су	90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equival	ent	hours	1000				RHI rate	5.18	p/kwh			
Annual chip co	ทรเ	umption	933333	kwh			reduced RHI	2.24	p/kwh			
Annual gas co	nsu	mption	93333	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
							Discount Rate	3	%			
										Fuel &		
										maintenance	Additional	
					Electricity	Heat sales			accumulated	cost for gas	costs for	
Year	F	RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	net saving	saving	equivalent	biomass	
	1	£43,512	£2,766	£39,807	£4,553	£29,750	£14,711	£11,425		£33,894	£27,943	
	2	£44,382	£2,822	£40,603	£4,644	£30,345	£15,005	£11,653	£23,078	£34,572	£28,502	
	3	£45,270	£2,878	£41,415	£4,737	£30,952	£15,305	£11,886	£34,965	£35,263	£29,072	
	4	£46,175	£2,936	£42,243	£4,832	£31,571	£15,611	£12,124	£47,089	£35,968	£29,654	
	5	£47,099	£2,994	£43,088	£4,928	£32,202	£15,924	£12,367	£59,455	£36,688	£30,247	
	6	£48,041	£3,054	£43,950	£5,027	£32,846	£16,242	£12,614	£72,069	£37,422	£30,852	
	7	£49,002	£3,115	£44,829	£5,127	£33,503	£16,567	£12,866	£84,936	£38,170	£31,469	
	8	£49,982	£3,178	£45,725	£5,230	£34,173	£16,898	£13,124	£98,059	£38,933	£32,098	
	9	£50,981	£3,241	£46,640	£5,335	£34,857	£17,236	£13,386	£111,445	£39,712	£32,740	
1	0	£52,001	£3,306	£47,573	£5,441	£35,554	£17,581	£13,654	£125,099	£40,506	£33,395	
1	1	£53,041	£3,372	£48,524	£5,550	£36,265	£17,933	£13,927	£139,026	£41,316	£34,063	
1	2	£54,102	£3,440	£49,495	£5,661	£36,990	£18,291	£14,205	£153,231	£42,143	£34,744	
1	3	£55,184	£3,508	£50,484	£5,774	£37,730	£18,657	£14,490	£167,721	£42,986	£35,439	
1	4	£56,287	£3,579	£51,494	£5,890	£38,485	£19,030	£14,779	£182,500	£43,845	£36,147	
1	5	£57,413	£3,650	£52,524	£6,008	£39,254	£19,411	£15,075	£197,575	£44,722	£36,870	
1	6	£58,561	£3,723	£53,575	£6,128	£40,040	£19,799	£15,376	£212,951	£45,617	£37,608	
1	7	£59,733	£3,798	£54,646	£6,250	£40,840	£20,195	£15,684	£228,635	£46,529	£38,360	
1	8	£60,927	£3,874	£55,739	£6,375	£41,657	£20,599	£15,998	£244,633	£47,460	£39,127	
1	9	£62,146	£3,951	£56,854	£6,503	£42,490	£21,011	£16,318	£260,950	£48,409	£39,910	
2	20	£63,389	£4,030	£57,991	£6,633	£43,340	£21,431	£16,644	£277,594	£49,377	£40,708	

4.3 Milefield Court

biomass boiler s	size	440	kw							Charge out rate	£0.11
biomass boiler e	efficiency	85	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers effici	ency	90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equivale	nt hours	2100				RHI rate	5.18	p/kwh			
Annual chip con	sumption	1087059	kwh			reduced RHI	2.24	p/kwh			
Annual gas con	sumption	108706	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
						Discount Rate	3	%			
									Fuel &		
									maintenance		
				Electricity	Heat sales			accumulated	cost for gas	Additional costs	
Year	RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	net saving	saving	equivalent	for biomass	
1	£37,696	£3,222	£46,363	£3,096	£29,750	£14,711	£53		£38,177	£29,215	
2	£38,449	£3,286	£47,290	£3,158	£30,345	£15,005	£54	£108	£38,941	£29,799	
3	£39,218	£3,352	£48,236	£3,221	£30,952	£15,305	£55	£163	£39,720	£30,395	
4	£40,003	£3,419	£49,201	£3,285	£31,571	£15,611	£57	£220	£40,514	£31,003	
5	£40,803	£3,488	£50,185	£3,351	£32,202	£15,924	£58	£278	£41,325	£31,623	
6	£41,619	£3,557	£51,189	£3,418	£32,846	£16,242	£59	£336	£42,151	£32,255	
7	£42,451	£3,629	£52,212	£3,487	£33,503	£16,567	£60	£397	£42,994	£32,900	
8	£43,300	£3,701	£53,257	£3,556	£34,173	£16,898	£61	£458	£43,854	£33,558	
ç	£44,166	£3,775	£54,322	£3,627	£34,857	£17,236	£62	£520	£44,731	£34,230	
10	£45,050	£3,851	£55,408	£3,700	£35,554	£17,581	£64	£584	£45,626	£34,914	
11	£45,951	£3,928	£56,516	£3,774	£36,265	£17,933	£65	£649	£46,538	£35,612	
12	£46,870	£4,006	£57,647	£3,849	£36,990	£18,291	£66	£715	£47,469	£36,325	
13	£47,807	£4,086	£58,800	£3,926	£37,730	£18,657	£68	£783	£48,418	£37,051	
14	£48,763	£4,168	£59,976	£4,005	£38,485	£19,030	£69	£852	£49,387	£37,792	
15	£49,738	£4,251	£61,175	£4,085	£39,254	£19,411	£70	£922	£50,374	£38,548	
16	£50,733	£4,336	£62,399	£4,167	£40,040	£19,799	£72	£994	£51,382	£39,319	
17	£51,748	£4,423	£63,647	£4,250	£40,840	£20,195	£73	£1,068	£52,409	£40,105	
18	£52,783	£4,512	£64,919	£4,335	£41,657	£20,599	£75	£1,142	£53,458	£40,908	
19	£53,838	£4,602	£66,218	£4,422	£42,490	£21,011	£76	£1,218	£54,527	£41,726	
20	£54,915	£4,694	£67,542	£4,510	£43,340	£21,431	£78	£1,296	£55,617	£42,560	

4.4 Rose Tree Avenue

biomass boiler	size	500	kw							Charge out rate	£0.11
biomass boiler	efficiency	85	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers efficiency		90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equivale	ent hours	1710				RHI rate	5.18	p/kwh			
Annual chip cor	nsumption	1005882	kwh			reduced RHI	2.24	p/kwh			
Annual gas con	sumption	100588	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
						Discount Rate	3	%			
									Fuel &		
									maintenance		
				Electricity	Heat sales			accumulated	cost for gas	Additional costs	
Year	RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	net saving	saving	equivalent	for biomass	
	1 £38,468	£2,981	£42,901	£7,100	£29,750	£14,711	£524		£37,533	£30,161	
	2 £39,237	£3,041	£43,759	£7,242	£30,345	£15,005	£535	£1,059	£38,283	£30,764	
	3 £40,022	£3,102	£44,634	£7,387	£30,952	£15,305	£546	£1,605	£39,049	£31,379	
4	4 £40,822	£3,164	£45,527	£7,535	£31,571	£15,611	£557	£2,161	£39,830	£32,007	
ł	5 £41,639	£3,227	£46,437	£7,685	£32,202	£15,924	£568	£2,729	£40,627	£32,647	
(6 £42,472	£3,292	£47,366	£7,839	£32,846	£16,242	£579	£3,308	£41,439	£33,300	
-	7 £43,321	£3,358	£48,313	£7,996	£33,503	£16,567	£591	£3,899	£42,268	£33,966	
8	8 £44,187	£3,425	£49,280	£8,156	£34,173	£16,898	£602	£4,501	£43,113	£34,645	
(9 £45,071	£3,493	£50,265	£8,319	£34,857	£17,236	£614	£5,116	£43,976	£35,338	
1(0 £45,973	£3,563	£51,271	£8,485	£35,554	£17,581	£627	£5,742	£44,855	£36,045	
1 [.]	1 £46,892	£3,634	£52,296	£8,655	£36,265	£17,933	£639	£6,382	£45,752	£36,766	
12	2 £47,830	£3,707	£53,342	£8,828	£36,990	£18,291	£652	£7,034	£46,667	£37,501	
1:	3 £48,786	£3,781	£54,409	£9,005	£37,730	£18,657	£665	£7,699	£47,601	£38,251	
14	4 £49,762	£3,857	£55,497	£9,185	£38,485	£19,030	£678	£8,377	£48,553	£39,016	
1	5 £50,757	£3,934	£56,607	£9,368	£39,254	£19,411	£692	£9,069	£49,524	£39,796	
16	6 £51,773	£4,013	£57,739	£9,556	£40,040	£19,799	£706	£9,775	£50,514	£40,592	
17	7 £52,808	£4,093	£58,894	£9,747	£40,840	£20,195	£720	£10,495	£51,524	£41,404	
18	8 £53,864	£4,175	£60,072	£9,942	£41,657	£20,599	£734	£11,229	£52,555	£42,232	
19	9 £54,941	£4,258	£61,273	£10,141	£42,490	£21,011	£749	£11,978	£53,606	£43,077	
20	0 £56,040	£4,343	£62,498	£10,343	£43,340	£21,431	£764	£12,742	£54,678	£43,938	

4.5 King Street

biomass boiler s	ize	500	kw			capital cost	£200,000)		Charge out rate	0.11
biomass boiler e	fficiency	85	%			chip cost	4.265	5 p/kwh		HSU biomass	12,211
gas boilers effici	ency	90	%			gas cost	4	p/kwh		HSU gas	187
Annual equivaler	nt hours	845				RHI rate	5.18	3 p/kwh			
Annual boiler he	at output	497059	kwh			reduced RHI	2.24	p/kwh			
Annual gas cons	sumption	49706	kwh			Inflation rate	2	2 %			
Heat Sales		270454	kwh			Discount Rate	3	8 %			
									Fuel &		
									maintenance		
				Electricity	Heat sales			accumulated	cost for gas	Additional costs	
Year	RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	net saving	saving	equivalent	for biomass	
1	£21,886	£1,988	£21,200	£4,758	£21,267	£14,711	£496		£25,436.59	£17,220	
2	£22,323	£2,028	£21,624	£4,853	£21,692	£15,005	£506	£1,001	£25,945	£17,565	
3	£22,770	£2,069	£22,056	£4,950	£22,126	£15,305	£516	£1,517	£26,464	£17,916	
4	£23,225	£2,110	£22,497	£5,049	£22,569	£15,611	£526	£2,043	£26,994	£18,274	
5	£23,690	£2,152	£22,947	£5,150	£23,020	£15,924	£537	£2,580	£27,533	£18,640	
6	£24,163	£2,195	£23,406	£5,253	£23,481	£16,242	£547	£3,127	£28,084	£19,012	
7	£24,647	£2,239	£23,874	£5,358	£23,950	£16,567	£558	£3,686	£28,646	£19,393	
8	£25,140	£2,284	£24,352	£5,465	£24,429	£16,898	£569	£4,255	£29,219	£19,781	
9	£25,642	£2,330	£24,839	£5,575	£24,918	£17,236	£581	£4,836	£29,803	£20,176	
10	£26,155	£2,376	£25,335	£5,686	£25,416	£17,581	£592	£5,429	£30,399	£20,580	
11	£26,678	£2,424	£25,842	£5,800	£25,924	£17,933	£604	£6,033	£31,007	£20,991	
12	£27,212	£2,472	£26,359	£5,916	£26,443	£18,291	£616	£6,649	£31,627	£21,411	
13	£27,756	£2,522	£26,886	£6,034	£26,972	£18,657	£629	£7,278	£32,260	£21,839	
14	£28,311	£2,572	£27,424	£6,155	£27,511	£19,030	£641	£7,919	£32,905	£22,276	
15	£28,877	£2,623	£27,972	£6,278	£28,061	£19,411	£654	£8,574	£33,563	£22,722	
16	£29,455	£2,676	£28,532	£6,404	£28,623	£19,799	£667	£9,241	£34,234	£23,176	
17	£30,044	£2,729	£29,102	£6,532	£29,195	£20,195	£681	£9,922	£34,919	£23,640	
18	£30,645	£2,784	£29,685	£6,662	£29,779	£20,599	£694	£10,616	£35,617	£24,112	
19	£31,258	£2,840	£30,278	£6,796	£30,375	£21,011	£708	£11,324	£36,330	£24,595	
20	£31,883	£2,896	£30,884	£6,932	£30,982	£21,431	£722	£12,046	£37,056	£25,087	

4.6 Heather Court

biomass boiler	size	840	kw							Charge out rate	£0.11
biomass boiler	efficiency	85	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers effi	ciency	90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equival	ent hours	1200				RHI rate	5.18	p/kwh			
Annual chip co	nsumption	1185882	kwh			reduced RHI	2.24	p/kwh			
Annual gas co	nsumption	118588	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
						Discount Rate	3	%			
									Fuel &		
									maintenance		
				Electricity	Heat sales			accumulated	cost for gas	Additional costs	
Year	RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	net saving	saving	equivalent	for biomass	
	1 £57,175	£3,515	£50,578	£7,029	£29,750	£14,711	£11,092		£43,366	£32,467	
	2 £58,318	£3,585	£51,589	£7,170	£30,345	£15,005	£11,314	£22,406	£44,233	£33,116	
	3 £59,485	£3,657	£52,621	£7,313	£30,952	£15,305	£11,540	£33,946	£45,118	£33,778	
	4 £60,674	£3,730	£53,674	£7,459	£31,571	£15,611	£11,771	£45,716	£46,020	£34,454	
	5 £61,888	£3,805	£54,747	£7,608	£32,202	£15,924	£12,006	£57,723	£46,941	£35,143	
	6 £63,126	£3,881	£55,842	£7,761	£32,846	£16,242	£12,246	£69,969	£47,880	£35,846	
	7 £64,388	£3,958	£56,959	£7,916	£33,503	£16,567	£12,491	£82,460	£48,837	£36,563	
	8 £65,676	£4,038	£58,098	£8,074	£34,173	£16,898	£12,741	£95,201	£49,814	£37,294	
	9 £66,989	£4,118	£59,260	£8,236	£34,857	£17,236	£12,996	£108,197	£50,810	£38,040	
1	0 £68,329	£4,201	£60,445	£8,400	£35,554	£17,581	£13,256	£121,453	£51,826	£38,801	
1	1 £69,696	£4,285	£61,654	£8,568	£36,265	£17,933	£13,521	£134,974	£52,863	£39,577	
1	2 £71,090	£4,370	£62,887	£8,740	£36,990	£18,291	£13,791	£148,765	£53,920	£40,368	
1	3 £72,511	£4,458	£64,145	£8,914	£37,730	£18,657	£14,067	£162,832	£54,999	£41,176	
1	4 £73,962	£4,547	£65,428	£9,093	£38,485	£19,030	£14,349	£177,181	£56,099	£41,999	
1	5 £75,441	£4,638	£66,736	£9,275	£39,254	£19,411	£14,635	£191,816	£57,221	£42,839	
1	6 £76,950	£4,731	£68,071	£9,460	£40,040	£19,799	£14,928	£206,745	£58,365	£43,696	
1	7 £78,489	£4,825	£69,433	£9,649	£40,840	£20,195	£15,227	£221,971	£59,532	£44,570	
1	8 £80,058	£4,922	£70,821	£9,842	£41,657	£20,599	£15,531	£237,503	£60,723	£45,461	
1	9 £81,660	£5,020	£72,238	£10,039	£42,490	£21,011	£15,842	£253,345	£61,937	£46,371	
2	0 £83,293	£5,121	£73,682	£10,240	£43,340	£21,431	£16,159	£269,503	£63,176	£47,298	

4.7 Hudsons Haven/ Aldham Farm

biomass boiler size	300	kw							Charge out rate	£0.11
biomass boiler efficiency	80	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers efficiency	90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equivalent hours	1100)			RHI rate	n/a	p/kwh			
Annual chip consumption	412500	kwh			reduced RHI	n/a	p/kwh			
Annual gas consumption	825000	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
					Discount Rate	3	%			
								Fuel &		
								maintenance		
			Electricity	Heat sales		costs less		cost for gas	Additional costs	
Year	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	income		equivalent	for biomass	
1	£24,453	£17,593	£4,262	£41,386	£14,711	£19,633		£39,998	£21,022	
2	£24,942	£17,945	£4,347	£42,213	£15,005	£20,026		£40,797	£21,442	
3	£25,441	£18,304	£4,434	£43,058	£15,305	£20,427		£41,613	£21,871	
4	£25,950	£18,670	£4,523	£43,919	£15,611	£20,835		£42,446	£22,308	
5	£26,469	£19,043	£4,613	£44,797	£15,924	£21,252		£43,295	£22,754	
6	£26,998	£19,424	£4,706	£45,693	£16,242	£21,677		£44,160	£23,210	
7	£27,538	£19,813	£4,800	£46,607	£16,567	£22,110		£45,044	£23,674	
8	£28,089	£20,209	£4,896	£47,539	£16,898	£22,553		£45,945	£24,147	
9	£28,651	£20,613	£4,994	£48,490	£17,236	£23,004		£46,863	£24,630	
10	£29,224	£21,025	£5,093	£49,460	£17,581	£23,464		£47,801	£25,123	
11	£29,808	£21,446	£5,195	£50,449	£17,933	£23,933		£48,757	£25,625	
12	£30,404	£21,875	£5,299	£51,458	£18,291	£24,412		£49,732	£26,138	
13	£31,012	£22,312	£5,405	£52,487	£18,657	£24,900		£50,727	£26,661	
14	£31,633	£22,759	£5,513	£53,537	£19,030	£25,398		£51,741	£27,194	
15	£32,265	£23,214	£5,624	£54,608	£19,411	£25,906		£52,776	£27,738	
16	£32,911	£23,678	£5,736	£55,700	£19,799	£26,424		£53,831	£28,292	
17	£33,569	£24,152	£5,851	£56,814	£20,195	£26,952		£54,908	£28,858	
18	£34,240	£24,635	£5,968	£57,950	£20,599	£27,491		£56,006	£29,435	
19	£34,925	£25,127	£6,087	£59,109	£21,011	£28,041		£57,126	£30,024	
20	£35,623	£25,630	£6,209	£60,291	£21,431	£28,602		£58,269	£30,625	

4.8 Union St/ Sheffield Road Flats

biomass boiler	size	450	kw							Charge out rate	£0.11
biomass boiler	efficiency	85	%			chip cost	4.265	p/kwh		HSU biomass	£12,211
gas boilers effic	iency	90	%			gas cost	2.964	p/kwh		HSU gas	£187
Annual equivale	ent hours	1460				RHI rate	n/a	p/kwh			
Annual chip co	nsumption	772941	kwh			reduced RHI	n/a	p/kwh			
Annual gas cor	sumption	618353	kwh			Inflation rate	2	%			
						Discount Rate	3	%			
									Fuel &		
									maintenance		
				Electricity	Heat sales		total cost		cost for gas	Additional costs	
Year	RHI income	Gas cost	chip cost	costs	income	maintenance	less income		equivalent	for biomass	
	1 £0	£18,328	£32,966	£3,502	£66,898	£14,711	£2,609		£44,176	£25,331	
	2 £0	£18,695	£33,625	£3,572	£68,236	£15,005	£2,661		£45,059	£25,838	
	3 £0	£19,068	£34,298	£3,643	£69,600	£15,305	£2,715		£45,961	£26,354	
	4 £0	£19,450	£34,984	£3,716	£70,993	£15,611	£2,769		£46,880	£26,881	
	5 £0	£19,839	£35,683	£3,791	£72,412	£15,924	£2,824		£47,817	£27,419	
	6 £0	£20,236	£36,397	£3,866	£73,861	£16,242	£2,881		£48,774	£27,967	
	7 £0	£20,640	£37,125	£3,944	£75,338	£16,567	£2,938		£49,749	£28,527	
	8 £0	£21,053	£37,868	£4,023	£76,845	£16,898	£2,997		£50,744	£29,097	
	9 £0	£21,474	£38,625	£4,103	£78,381	£17,236	£3,057		£51,759	£29,679	
1	0£0	£21,904	£39,397	£4,185	£79,949	£17,581	£3,118		£52,794	£30,273	
1	1 £0	£22,342	£40,185	£4,269	£81,548	£17,933	£3,180		£53,850	£30,878	
1	2 £0	£22,789	£40,989	£4,354	£83,179	£18,291	£3,244		£54,927	£31,496	
1	3 £0	£23,244	£41,809	£4,441	£84,843	£18,657	£3,309		£56,026	£32,126	
1.	4 £0	£23,709	£42,645	£4,530	£86,539	£19,030	£3,375		£57,146	£32,768	
1	5 £0	£24,183	£43,498	£4,621	£88,270	£19,411	£3,443		£58,289	£33,424	
1	6 £0	£24,667	£44,368	£4,713	£90,036	£19,799	£3,512		£59,455	£34,092	
1	7 £0	£25,160	£45,255	£4,807	£91,836	£20,195	£3,582		£60,644	£34,774	
1	8 £0	£25,664	£46,160	£4,904	£93,673	£20,599	£3,653		£61,857	£35,469	
1	9 £0	£26,177	£47,083	£5,002	£95,547	£21,011	£3,726		£63,094	£36,179	
2	0£0	£26,700	£48,025	£5,102	£97,457	£21,431	£3,801		£64,356	£36,902	

5 Hot Water Consumption on Unmetered Centralised Schemes

The hot water was turned off for 48 hours on the three sites with unmetered central hot-water systems and the gas consumption from the boilers measured.

	gas meter	gas meter	Gas used	Annual	Annual	Annual	Total annual
Scheme	20/7	22/7	(m3)	gas used	kWh	gas cost	cost
Glebe Court	274598.21	274723.1	124.89	24228.35	275234	£8,158	£8,736
Grey Street	533644.04	533772.11	128.07	24845.26	282242	£8,366	£8,944
Kirk View	359096.79	359153.4	56.61	10982.2	124758	£3,698	£4,276

The calculation uses a factor to take account of the change in incoming cold water temperature in winter.

Gas cost based on calorific value of 40 and price of 2.964p/kWh for gas.

The total cost includes an addition for pumping costs based on a nominal 600watts and an electricity price (including fixed charges) of 11p/kWh

6 Water Quality Testing

Samples of heating system water and mains cold water were taken at all sites and sent for laboratory testing. The results are provided on the test sheets accompanying this report.

A number of the reports note a lack of corrosion inhibitor and Ferxox have given us the following detail on the wording for this section:

"Inhibitor Absent – i.e., nothing at all present; neither Fernox nor competitor. Note that very, very dilute traces of inhibitor will also flag up as 'absent'.

"Not a Fernox Product" – where a competitor treatment is found. We ID Fernox by a number of parameters, not all of which appear on the report and also the ratio between these parameters. Parameters not used by Fernox (nitrite, nitrate for example are also used in this calculation.

"Fernox Low" – Fernox confirmed as being the inhibitor used, but dose rate is insufficient. This may occur through incorrect system sizing, poor mixing if addition is recent or water losses.

"Satisfactory" – means it's treated with Fernox and the concentration is at or above the minimum acceptable level. The pass point is actually slightly less than the stated recommended concentration in the literature. i.e., we pass samples at only 2/3rds of recommended concentration to allow for real world field variations. This fits in with the wording of our field test kit (37906) – 'minimum dose' and 'recommended dose'. It will also pass if over-dosed as this is not regarded as harmful.

A Fernox document giving further explanation of the water quality test is attached to this report.

7 Survey of Comparative Charges for Metered Heat

Although we approached twenty housing providers with community/district heating schemes we have only received useful responses from very few of them. We have gained the impression that many providers do not check in detail whether their schemes are self-financing. Some responses below were obtained from published documents uncovered by internet searches.

Details of responses received by 30th July are shown below, some of these are anonymous at the supplier's request.:

Organisation	Unit Charge	Standing Charge	Comments
H.A. 1	12.7p	no	Electric heat-pump system covering costs (and probably working at low efficiency?)
L.A.1	6.0p	not known	Pre-payment meters "majority of schemes break even"
H.A.2	5.4p	no	"not subsidised"
Q.E.Olympic Park	7.0p	60p/day	Commercial-presumed not subsidised
Rotherham Council	8.72p	no	Recently increased to cover costs
Doncaster Council	5.8p	no	Biomass - forecast to break even
Hull Council	3.9p	no	Starting a 5 year phased increases in price in order to cover costs
Leeds Council	7.4p	29p/day	Believed to cover costs although full analysis including maintenance, etc, not carried out
Manchester City Council	7.05	no	Currently trying to assess whether self-financing

One useful information source has been EnerG who have provided anonymised data on unit charges from over 100 heat providers in the UK. The average unit cost is 7.1p/kWh and a graph of all providers is shown on the following page.





Around half of these providers also impose a standing charge for heat and this averages at 20pence a day.

8 General Conclusions

The review of high cost sites raised a number of issues that apply in varying degrees to all the schemes.

The key issue in our view is the impact of the cost of heat on usage by tenants, and this is shown both by the dramatic drop in heat use recorded over the last three years, by the low use at most sites we checked in comparison to EPC predictions. It is also apparent that the current charge of 11p/kWh is higher than the average charge for heat.

There are various possible ways the unit cost of heat could be reduced. These include introducing a standing (or availability) charge, apportioning maintenance costs to another budget, and general energy efficiency improvements. A review of requirements for maintenance on the biomass schemes could show opportunities for cost reductions: carrying out 3 site visits a week rather than 5, for example.

A reduction in unit cost would be expected to create a higher demand for heat and thus a greater income, but predicting this in advance will involve some financial risk.

The recommendations given in section 3.3. apply to most sites and can be summarised as:

- Rectify gaps in insulation on boiler room and distribution pipework (building entry points, inspection chambers, etc).
- Review BMS settings and adjust to reduce flow temperatures and increase flow/return temperature differential.
- Introduce time control where practical to community centres & communal lounges.
- Introduce metering to unmetered dwellings

We were not asked to survey all plant rooms but it is clear that there are some older installations with inefficient gas boilers and fixed speed pumps which should be prioritised for renewal. These include Kirk View, Gray Street and Heather Court. Sites with older conventional gas boilers such as Saville Court should also be programmed for upgrade.

The tendency to oversize heating plant (apparent on newer biomass schemes) should be avoided on any new installations, as this reduces efficiency, and any new distribution pipework should be specified with a high insulation standard.

9 Appendix: supplementary information

9.1. Anonymised Unit Cost Data Shown in descending order of unit cost

daily	standing	unit	•	daily	standing		
charg	5tanung	cost	t/kWh	char	ge	uni	t.cost/kWh
f	-	f	0.16000	f	0 20000	f	0.07000
f	0.14280	f	0.15000	f	-	f	0.07000
f	0.40000	- f	0.13000	f	0.32000	- f	0.06870
f	-	- f	0.12000	f	0.48850	- F	0.06840
f	_	- F	0.11000	f	0 44150	- F	0.06830
f	_	- F	0.11000	f	0 31050	- F	0.06800
f	_	f	0.11000	f	0.22920	f	0.06250
f	_	f	0.11000	f	-	f	0.05930
f	_	f	0.11000	f	0 38740	f	0.05780
f	_	f	0.11000	f	0.20000	f	0.05700
f	_	f	0.11000	f	-	f	0.05500
f	_	f	0.11000	f	-	f	0.05500
f	_	f	0.11000	f	_	f	0.05500
f		F F	0.11000	F F		F F	0.05500
f	_	f	0.11000	f	_	£	0.05500
L L	-	L L	0.11000	L L	-	L L	0.05300
f		F F	0.11000	L L	0.31980	F F	0.05190
L L	-	L L	0.11000	L L	-	L L	0.05000
L L	-	L L	0.11000	L L	-	L L	0.03000
E C	-	E	0.11000	E C	0.56000	E	0.04700
£	-	E	0.11000	Ē	0.56020	E	0.04640
E C	-	E	0.11000	E C	0.14500	E	0.04640
Ē	-	E	0.09680	E	0.14500	E	0.04640
± C	-	£	0.09520	 É C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.23070	£	0.09390	É C	0.14500	£	0.04640
± C	-	£	0.09200	É C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.09100	£	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.09100	 É C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.09100	£	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.22000	£	0.08500	±	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.17810	£	0.08500	E C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.24250	£	0.08400	±	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.77260	£	0.08400	 E C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.24660	£	0.08400	£	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08400	 É C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.15750	£	0.08140	£	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.24150	£	0.08140	±	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08100	E C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08100	£	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08100	±	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08100	É C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08100	E C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	-	£	0.08100	E C	0.14500	£	0.04640
± c	0.31000	£	0.08010	E C	0.14500	É	0.04640
± c	0.39000	É C	0.08000	L L	0.14500	É C	0.04640
É C	0.20720	É C	0.07900	L C	0.14500	£	0.04640
£	0.19200	£	0.07700	£	0.26010	£	0.04630
£	0.19200	£	0.07700	£	-	£	0.04600
±	0.21270	É	0.07640	E C	-	£	0.04230
£	-	£	0.07500	f f	-	£	0.04200
£	0.10000	£	0.07500	f f	-	£	0.03480
£	-	£	0.07380	f f	-	£	0.03380
É C	0.90620	£	0.07300	 f É	-	£	0.03380
£	0.20260	£	0.07270	 f -	-	£	0.03380
£	0.33200	£	0.07260	f f	-	£	0.03380
£	0.23000	£	0.07260	f É	-	£	0.03380
				 f f	-	£	0.03380
				f É	-	£	0.03380
				 f f	-	£	0.02900
				Ι£	-	£	0.02600

Fuel poverty status	Year	Number of households (000's)	Proportion of households fuel poor (%)	Aggregate fuel poverty gap (£m): Real Terms ¹	Average fuel poverty gap (£): Real Terms ¹	Median fuel poverty gap (£) Excel method: Real Terms ¹
Fuel poor	2003	2414	11.6	556	231	148
	2004	2438	11.6	575	236	145
	2005	2389	11.3	608	254	152
	2006	2276	10.7	744	327	208
	2007	2376	11.1	773	325	197
	2008	2510	11.7	852	339	210
	2009	2567	11.9	911	355	225
	2010	2490	11.5	869	349	212
	2011	2433	11.1	926	380	222
	2012	2360	10.8	909	385	234
	2013	2347	10.4	877	374	238

9.2. Statistics on Fuel Poor





From DECC Annual Fuel Poverty Statistics published May 2015